

PROPOSITION 141

SCR 1004 (2025)

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE
RELATING TO TAXATION

OFFICIAL TITLE

AMENDING ARTICLE IX, CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA, BY ADDING SECTION 26.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE

PROHIBITS TAXES OR FEES BASED ON VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED AND LAWS
MONITORING OR LIMITING VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED WITHOUT CONSENT.

A “yes” vote shall have the effect of amending the Arizona Constitution to prohibit: (1) taxes or fees based on motor vehicle miles traveled; and (2) laws or rules that monitor or limit motor vehicle miles traveled without consent. These prohibitions do not apply in certain instances to interstate commercial vehicles or to vehicles owned by the state or local governments.

A “no” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current constitutional language related to taxation.

PROPOSITION 142

HCR 2044 (2026)

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING
TO THE PROHIBITION OF PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT AND DISCRIMINATION

OFFICIAL TITLE

AMENDING ARTICLE II, SECTION 36, CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE

ADDS FURTHER DETAILS TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROHIBITION AGAINST
PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT OR DISCRIMINATION BY THE STATE BASED ON
RACE, ETHNICITY, OR OTHER CLASSES.

A “yes” vote shall have the effect of amending the Arizona Constitution’s current prohibition against preferential treatment or discrimination by the state based on race, ethnicity, or other classes, to specifically prohibit: (1) compelling individuals to support preferential treatment or discrimination based on race or ethnicity as a condition of education, employment, or contract opportunities; (2) spending public money on positions in public education responsible for promoting preferential treatment or discrimination based on race or ethnicity; and (3) implementing disciplinary practices that treat students or employees differently based on race or ethnicity. Prohibited conduct includes requiring or asking an applicant, employee, student, or contractor to provide a statement in support of race-based diversity, equity, and inclusion beyond upholding the federal Equal Protection Clause or asking such a person to discuss the person’s race or ethnicity or experience with others’ race or ethnicity. The amendment contains certain exceptions for health services, legal, and other reasons.

A “no” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current prohibition in the Arizona Constitution against preferential treatment or discrimination by the state based on race, ethnicity, or other classes.

PROPOSITION 144

HCR 2001 (2026)

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING
TO ELECTION REQUIREMENTS

OFFICIAL TITLE

AMENDING ARTICLE VII, CONSTITUTION OF ARIZONA, BY ADDING SECTION 19.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE

REQUIRES ALL VOTERS, INCLUDING VOTERS WHO VOTE BY MAIL, TO SHOW GOVERNMENT-ISSUED ID TO VOTE IN EACH ELECTION; MAKES OTHER CHANGES TO ELECTION ADMINISTRATION AND CAMPAIGN FINANCE PROHIBITIONS.

A “yes” vote shall have the effect of amending the Arizona Constitution to: (1) require voters to show proof of identity when voting in each election, including when voting by mail; (2) changing existing proof of identity requirements to limit acceptable documentation to government-issued ID; (3) require election officials to provide all voters the option to have their ballot tabulated at their voting location on election day; (4) prohibit foreign nationals from contributing or spending to influence elections in Arizona and prohibit anyone from knowingly accepting such contributions, similar to prohibitions that already exist in federal law; (5) create a new standard for judicial review of election laws under the Arizona Constitution, which may make it harder to challenge election laws; and (6) require the legislature to appropriate money necessary to implement these provisions.

A “no” vote shall have the effect of maintaining current constitutional provisions governing elections.

PROPOSITION 316

HCR 2021 (2025)

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO MUNICIPAL
TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE TAX

OFFICIAL TITLE

AMENDING TITLE 42, CHAPTER 6, ARTICLE 1, SECTION 42-6015, ARIZONA REVISED
STATUTES.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE

RETROACTIVELY PROHIBITS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FROM ADOPTING OR
INCREASING A TAX ON GROCERIES WITHOUT VOTER APPROVAL AND SETS A
MAXIMUM RATE OF 2%.

A “yes” vote shall have the effect of: (1) retroactively prohibiting local governments from adopting or increasing a tax on groceries from July 1, 2025, through June 30, 2027; and (2) after that time, prohibiting local governments from adopting or increasing a tax on groceries without voter approval and limiting any new or increased tax to a maximum rate of 2%.

A “no” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws relating to local government taxation.

PROPOSITION 317

HCR 2055 (2025)

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO THE ARIZONA
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

OFFICIAL TITLE

AMENDING TITLE 41, CHAPTER 41, ARTICLE 1, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY
ADDING SECTION 41-4256.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE

DECLARES THAT DRUG CARTELS ARE TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS; DIRECTS
THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY TO DO EVERYTHING IN
ITS AUTHORITY TO ADDRESS DRUG CARTELS.

A “yes” vote shall have the effect of: (1) declaring drug cartels as terrorist organizations and directing the Arizona Department of Homeland Security to do everything in its authority to address threats posed by drug cartels; (2) defining “drug cartel” to mean an ongoing formal or informal association of persons engaged in human smuggling, drug trafficking, or any act defined as terrorism under Arizona law; and (3) clarifying that this measure does not support an alien’s asylum claim.

A “no” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws relating to terrorism and the Arizona Department of Homeland Security.

PROPOSITION 318

HCR 2003 (2026)

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO STUDENT
ATHLETICS

OFFICIAL TITLE

AMENDING SECTION 15-120.02, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE

REQUIRES ALL SCHOOLS AND ATHLETIC ASSOCIATIONS TO DESIGNATE ATHLETIC TEAMS AS MALE, FEMALE, OR COED BASED ON THE BIOLOGICAL SEX OF THE ATHLETES AS DEFINED AT BIRTH; PROHIBITS THESE ENTITIES FROM AUTHORIZING USE OF PRIVATE SPACES NOT DESIGNATED FOR A PERSON'S SEX.

A “yes” vote shall have the effect of: (1) requiring private schools, public schools, and athletic associations to designate every athletic team as male, female, or coed/mixed based on sex and to prevent males from joining female teams; existing law imposes this requirement only on public schools and those competing against them; (2) prohibiting schools and athletic associations from authorizing anyone to use private spaces (such as restrooms) not designated for that individual’s sex; (3) defining “sex” as an individual’s biological status as male or female as recorded at birth on an original birth certificate; and (4) authorizing athletes to sue schools and athletic associations that knowingly violate this law.

A “no” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws relating to student athletics.

PROPOSITION 319

SCR 1004 (2026)

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO PHOTO
ENFORCEMENT SYSTEMS

OFFICIAL TITLE

AMENDING SECTION 28-1201, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES; AMENDING TITLE 28, CHAPTER 3, ARTICLE 21, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING SECTION 28-1207.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE

PROHIBITS STATE AGENCIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FROM USING PHOTO ENFORCEMENT SYSTEMS FOR TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT UNLESS PROVIDED BY CONTRACT AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2026; REQUIRES LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO OBTAIN VOTER APPROVAL TO CONTINUE THEIR USE.

A “yes” vote shall have the effect of: (1) prohibiting state agencies and local governments from using photo enforcement systems to identify violators of traffic laws unless the agency or government contracts to use those systems by December 31, 2026; and (2) requiring local governments to obtain voter approval of existing photo enforcement systems at the next regular general election and every 10 years afterward to continue using photo enforcement systems.

A “no” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws governing photo enforcement systems.

PROPOSITION 320

SCR 1032 (2026)

REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO SCHOOL
DISTRICT BUDGETS

OFFICIAL TITLE

AMENDING TITLE 15, CHAPTER 9, ARTICLE 1, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES BY
ADDING SECTION 15-917.

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE

REQUIRES CERTAIN SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SPEND AT LEAST SIXTY PERCENT OF
THEIR OPERATIONAL SPENDING ON DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL EXPENSES AS
DEFINED BY THE AUDITOR GENERAL; REDUCES CERTAIN STATE FUNDING FOR
NON-COMPLIANT DISTRICTS.

A “yes” vote shall have the effect of: (1) requiring school districts with 7,500 or more students in any county or that operate in a county with 500,000 or more people to spend at least 60% of their operational spending on direct instructional expenses; (2) requiring the state Auditor General to define “operational spending” and “direct instructional expenses”; (3) requiring non-compliant school districts to gradually adjust their spending each year until they are in compliance; and (4) requiring the state Department of Education to reduce funding from the state Classroom Site Fund by 25% each year for non-compliant districts, subject to limited waivers that the state Superintendent of Public Instruction may authorize.

A “no” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws governing school district budgets.